Complex Genetic Interactions among Four Receptor Tyrosine Phosphatases Regulate Axon Guidance in Drosophila
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Four receptor-linked protein tyrosine phosphatases are selectively expressed on central nervous system axons in the Drosophila embryo. Published data show that three of these (DLAR, DPTP69D, DPTP99A) regulate motor axon guidance decisions during embryonic development. Here we examine the role of the fourth neural phosphatase, DPTP10D, by analyzing double-, triple-, and quadruple-mutant embryos lacking all possible combinations of the phosphatases. This analysis shows that all four phosphatases participate in guidance of interneuronal axons within the longitudinal tracts of the central nervous system. In the neuromuscular system, DPTP10D works together with the other three phosphatases to facilitate outgrowth and bifurcation of the SNa nerve, but acts in opposition to the others in regulating extension of ISN motor axons past intermediate targets. Our results provide evidence for three kinds of genetic interactions among the neural tyrosine phosphatases: partial redundancy, competition, and collaboration.

INTRODUCTION

Receptor-linked protein tyrosine phosphatases (RPTPs) are important regulators of axon guidance decisions in Drosophila embryos and larvae. In the embryo, the functions of the RPTPs have been primarily studied in the neuromuscular system, which contains a small number of motor neurons whose axons can be easily visualized by antibody staining. In each abdominal segment (A2–A7) of the embryo, 32 motor axons exit the central nervous system (CNS) within the ISN (intersegmental nerve) and SN (segmental nerve) roots and then split into five pathways that innervate 30 muscle fibers. The SNa and SNc pathways emerge from the SN root, and the ISN, ISNb, and ISNd pathways arise from the ISN root (ISNb and ISNd are also known as SNb and SNd). The stereotyped and relatively simple nature of the neuromuscular system makes it possible to analyze how specific genes regulate the formation of individual synapses (for review see Keshishian et al., 1996).

Five Drosophila RPTP genes have been described thus far; these encode DPTP4E, DPTP10D, DLAR, DPTP69D, and DPTP99A (Hariharan et al., 1991; Oon et al., 1993; Streuli et al., 1989; Tian et al., 1991; Yang et al., 1991). Our analysis of the complete Drosophila sequence (Adams et al., 2000) suggests that there is only one additional RPTP encoded in the genome (B.S. and K.Z., unpublished). Remarkably, four of the five known RPTPs are selectively expressed on CNS axons (Desai et al., 1994; Hariharan et al., 1991; Tian et al., 1991; Yang et al., 1991). DPTP4E mRNA is expressed in the CNS and in several other tissues; this RPTP has not been genetically characterized, however, and its protein distribution pattern has not been determined (Oon et al., 1993). The four “neural” RPTPs DPTP10D, DLAR, DPTP69D, and DPTP99A have been studied using genetics. All four phosphatases regulate axon guidance decisions in the embryo (Desai et al., 1996, 1997; Krueger et al., 1996; Sun et al., 2000).

Single mutants lacking DLAR or DPTP69D display altered guidance of ISNb axons in the neuromuscular system (Desai et al., 1996; Krueger et al., 1996). Many motor axon pathway decisions, however, are altered only when specific combinations of two or more RPTPs are eliminated. For example, when DLAR, DPTP69D, and DPTP99A are all absent, the ISN is unable to extend...
RESULTS

Sequence Requirements for DPTP69D Function in the CNS

Ptp10D Ptp69D mutant embryos have a unique CNS phenotype in which longitudinal axons abnormally cross the midline (Sun et al., 2000) (Fig. 1E). This phenotype can be observed using mAb 1D4, which recognizes three longitudinal bundles on each side of the CNS in late stage 16 and early stage 17 embryos (Van Vactor et al., 1993). There is little staining in the commissures at these stages in wild-type embryos (Figs. 1A and 1B). Thus, abnormal midline crossing by 1D4-positive axons in Ptp10D; Ptp69D mutants can be easily visualized as commissural 1D4 staining (Fig. 1E, arrow). Greater than 95% of segments have 1D4-positive commissural bundles in these mutants (Sun et al., 2000).

The existence of this highly penetrant double-mutant phenotype made it possible to address sequence requirements for RPTP function in the embryonic CNS. Garrity et al. (1999) used GAL4-driven Ptp69D transgenes (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) to rescue the Ptp69D optic lobe phenotype. We crossed the same transgenes into the Ptp10D; Ptp69D mutant background, together with panneuronal GAL4 sources (Elav-GAL4) and evaluated the fraction of embryos that displayed the characteristic double mutant CNS phenotype. These data show that the requirements for DPTP69D sequences in rescuing the embryonic CNS phenotype are the same as for phenotypic rescue in the optic lobe (Garrity et al., 1999). Within the extracellular domain, the fibronectin type III (FN3) repeats are required, but the immunoglobulin-like (Ig) domains are not. Mutants in which either the first or the second cytoplasmic PTP domain is inactivated or deleted are still able to rescue. If inactivating point mutations are introduced into the active sites of both PTP domains, however, the resulting DPTP69D construct does not rescue the double-mutant phenotype (Table 1).

Removal of DPTP10D Reveals Distinct Roles for All Four Neural RPTPs in Control of CNS Axon Guidance

To study the roles of the four RPTPs in regulating axon guidance in the embryo, we generated and analyzed double-, triple-, and quadruple-mutant embryos lacking DPTP10D and one or more of the other three neural RPTPs. These embryos were recognized by the lack of staining with monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against each of the RPTPs (see Experimental Methods). To simplify the analysis, we used a single combination of null mutations for each of the RPTPs. For Ptp69D, Ptp99A, and Dlar (denoted in Flybase as Lar), we employed null transheterozygous mutant combinations previously used by Desai et al. (1997) to define the roles of these three RPTPs in motor axon guidance; this allowed direct comparison of our results with theirs (see...
Experimental Methods for details). *Ptp10D* is on the X chromosome, so it is most straightforward to examine hemizygous males when examining *Ptp10D* mutant phenotypes. We were able to do this using the null allele *Ptp10D*<sup>1</sup>, because it is hemizygous/homozygous viable and fertile and has a small molecularly characterized deletion that affects only the *Ptp10D* gene (Sun et al., 2000; also analyzed further using the complete *Drosophila* genome sequence). We had previously shown that two other independently generated *Ptp10D* alleles produce the same phenotypes as *Ptp10D*<sup>1</sup> when combined with *Ptp69D* (Sun et al., 2000).

None of the *Rptp* combinations, including the quadruple mutation, produce muscle or peripheral nervous system abnormalities (data not shown). This is consistent with the observation that no expression of any of the four RPTPs can be detected on muscles or sensory neurons (Tian et al., 1991; Yang et al., 1991; Hariharan et al., 1991; Desai et al., 1994, 1996; Krueger et al., 1996).

To investigate how RPTPs regulate guidance of 1D4-positive axons within the CNS, we analyzed the phenotypes of all triple mutants. We found that late stage 16 triple mutants lacking DLAR, DPTP69D, and DPTP99A, although they have very severe motor axon

![FIG. 1. CNS phenotypes in Rptp mutant embryos.](image-url)
defects (Desai et al., 1997), do not display strong CNS phenotypes that are detectable with this marker. Like wild-type embryos, they have distinct longitudinal 1D4-positive bundles and little commissural staining. The morphologies of the two inner bundles are very similar to that of wild type, but the outer bundle, which develops later, is often discontinuous (Fig. 1D, bracket). When DPTP10D, DLAR, and DPTP99A are all absent, a similar CNS phenotype is observed. Again, the two inner bundles are intact, while the outer bundle is discontinuous (Fig. 1C, bracket). In rare segments of these embryos, the inner bundle contacts the midline. These results show that CNS longitudinal 1D4-positive bundles avoid the midline in a normal manner when either DPTP10D or DPTP69D is expressed and all three of the other RPTPs are absent.

Removal of DPTP10D, DPTP69D, and DPTP99A produces a phenotype similar to, but more severe than, that of Ptp10D; Ptp69D (Sun et al., 2000), in which the three longitudinal bundles are partially fused and many 1D4-positive axons cross the midline (Figs. 1E and 1F, arrows). Thus, removal of DPTP99A strengthens the basic phenotype produced by the absence of DPTP10D and DPTP69D.

The Ptp10D; Dlar; Ptp69D triple mutant also has a strong phenotype involving ectopic midline crossing and longitudinal bundle fusion (Fig. 1G). It differs from the Ptp10D; Ptp69D; Ptp99A phenotype, however, in that the axons that abnormally cross the midline in these embryos often grow diagonally to the other side without respecting the normal borders of the anterior and posterior commissures. In many cases, all of the 1D4-positive connective axons appear to be rerouted across the midline, producing complete connective breaks (Fig. 1G, double arrow).

Finally, when all four RPTPs are absent, a phenotype
is observed in which most of the 1D4 axons cross the midline and the longitudinal 1D4 bundles are almost absent (Figs. 1H and 1I). Staining of these quadruple-mutant embryos with mAb BP102, which recognizes all CNS axons, shows that the longitudinal tracts are depleted of axons and that the commissures are completely fused (bracket) and much thicker than normal (Sun et al., 2000). Taken together, these results suggest that in the absence of all four neural phosphatases, most of the pathways in the longitudinal tracts are converted into commissural pathways that cross the midline (compare Figs. 1A and 1H). In summary, the RPTPs display a remarkable specificity in regulating CNS axon guidance; loss of any two or three of the four does not strongly affect the two inner 1D4-positive bundles unless both DPTP10D and DPTP69D are missing.

### Longitudinal Pioneer Axons Cross the Midline in Embryos Lacking All Four RPTPs

Staining with mAb 1D4 at late stages does not permit visualization of the trajectories of individual axons. By examining earlier (stage 13) embryos, we have previously shown that longitudinal pioneer axons are not strongly affected in Ptp10D; Ptp69D double-mutant embryos (Sun et al., 2000). The longitudinal 1D4-positive axons that cross the midline or follow other abnormal pathways in these embryos appear later (stage 15), and they cannot be individually visualized because of the large number of pathways that are stained with the mAb by this time. We were, however, able to observe individual axons abnormally crossing the midline in Ptp10D; Ptp69D mutants by examining neuronal lineages deriving from single DiI-labeled neuroblasts (NBs; see Schmid et al., 1999). For example, the NB 2–5 progeny axons normally cross the midline once and extend anteriorly along the contralateral longitudinal connective. In Ptp10D; Ptp69D mutants, they double back across the midline after crossing once and then grow posteriorly in the ipsilateral connective (Sun et al., 2000).

### Table 1

**Functional Analysis of Ptp69D Mutants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transgene&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Percentage of expected phenotype (n)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Rescue&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular domain mutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69DD (Ig)</td>
<td>7.2 (469)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69DD (FNIII)</td>
<td>85.6 (450)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69D/EC (RPTPub)</td>
<td>100.0 (288)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular domain mutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69D (wedge)</td>
<td>21.6 (623)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69D (DA1)</td>
<td>6.7 (301)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69DD (PTP2)</td>
<td>15.2 (312)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69D (DA1DA2)</td>
<td>98.4 (447)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Transgenes were driven in all postmitotic neurons using Elav-GAL4.

<sup>b</sup> Number of embryos showing midline crossing phenotype compared to the number of embryos expected to show the phenotype (transgene on X chromosome, 25%; transgene on second or third chromosome, 12.5%). n, number of embryos examined.

<sup>c</sup> “+” denotes that the transgene rescued the Ptp10D; Ptp69D phenotype (<25% of the expected number embryos display the phenotype). “−” indicates failure to rescue (>75% of the expected number of embryos display the phenotype).
pated that longitudinal pioneer axons should be affected in this genotype. Unfortunately, it is not possible to identify quadruple mutant embryos (1/128 of the total) at stage 13 by the absence of staining with mAbs against the four RPTPs, because staining is too weak at this stage. One also cannot use marked balancers to identify these embryos, because the \textit{Rptp} genes are on all three chromosomes and triply balanced stocks cannot be maintained. Accordingly, we had to develop a new technique to generate and study early quadruple-mutant embryos. To do this, we used RNAi methods, which have been shown to be effective in inhibiting gene expression in \textit{Drosophila} embryos (Kennerdell and Carthew, 1998; Misquitta and Paterson, 1999). We have been able to obtain effective inhibition of the expression of two, three, or four RPTPs simultaneously by injecting the appropriate concentrations of double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) into blastoderm embryos (A.S., B.S., and K.Z., unpublished; see Experimental Methods). A complete description of these methods will be published elsewhere. In Fig. 1B, we show that embryos injected with buffer and allowed to develop to stage 16 or 17 have a 1D4 staining pattern that is indistinguishable from that of wild type. Embryos injected with dsRNAs for all four of the neural RPTPs have a CNS phenotype at late stage 16 that closely resembles that of quadruple genetic mutants (Fig. 1I). These embryos also display motor axon phenotypes that are indistinguishable from those of quadruple mutants (data not shown; see Figs. 4–6 for quadruple-mutant motor axon phenotypes).

In early stage 13 embryos, only one longitudinal pathway is stained by mAb 1D4. This contains the axons of the MP1, dMP2, vMP2, and pCC neurons. In wild-type embryos, the MP1 and dMP2 axons fasciculate with each other and extend posteriorly, while the vMP2 and pCC axons fasciculate and extend anteriorly. The ascending and descending axons meet to form a continuous longitudinal pathway (Fig. 2E; Seeger et al., 1993). This pathway then splits into two separate bundles: pCC/vMP2 (green arrow) and MP1/dMP2 (red arrow) (Hidalgo and Brand, 1997). In \textit{Ptp10D; Ptp69D} mutants, these two pioneer pathways form in an apparently normal manner (Sun et al., 2000).

The longitudinal pioneer axons are diverted across the midline in \textit{robo} embryos, in which axonal repulsion from the midline is reduced (Kidd et al., 1998a,b). The initial trajectory of the pCC/vMP2 axons is altered, so that they grow diagonally to the midline (Fig. 2G, green arrow) and then cross. The MP1/dMP2 axons begin to extend posteriorly in a normal manner, but then curve across the midline (red arrows).

In quadruple RNAi embryos (Fig. 2F, red arrows), we observe that the MP1/dMP2 axons usually follow a curving pathway to the midline, as they do in \textit{robo} embryos. In some segments, the ascending pCC/vMP2 axons grow anteriorly to establish a longitudinal pathway to the next segment (green arrow). In others, they stall, leading to the formation of a break in the 1D4-positive connective (double red arrow). They seldom, however, grow diagonally to the midline as they do in \textit{robo} mutants (Fig. 2G, green arrow).
We also observed alterations in pioneer pathways using a different marker, the 22C10 mAb (Zipursky et al., 1984). At stage 13, this mAb stains the ascending vMP2 and SP1 axons, the descending MP1 and dMP2 axons, and the bifurcating VUM axons, among others (Seeger et al., 1993). The SP1 axons cross the midline and fasciculate with the vMP2 axons; thus, in wild-type embryos a curving SP1 pathway crossing the midline that meets the longitudinal MP1 pathway is seen (Fig. 2A, black arrow). In quadruple RNAi embryos, the MP1/dMP2 axons curve toward the midline (red arrow). In the segment shown, the MP1/dMP2 axons appear to be crossing the midline at the anterior–posterior position of the VUM and RP axons.

The bilateral RP(1,3,4) group of motor neurons sends axons across the midline. These contact the contralateral RP cell bodies, then grow posteriorly and exit the CNS in the ISN root. In quadruple RNAi embryos, the RP cell bodies are often displaced (Fig. 2B, asterisk), and
the RP axons sometimes extend on only one side of the embryo (Fig. 2D, missing pathway indicated by bracket). mAb 22C10 also stains the VUM axons, which originate at the midline, grow anteriorly, and then bifurcate. In quadruple RNAi embryos, the VUM axons often fail to bifurcate, so that a VUM pathway is observed only on one side (data not shown). Other early axons stained by the 1D4 and 22C10 mAbs, such as those of the aCC, RP2, and U motor neurons, usually develop normally in quadruple RNAi embryos.

By stages 15–16, a continuous, straight longitudinal 22C10-positive pathway has developed (Fig. 2C, dotted lines). This is missing in quadruple RNAi embryos (Fig. 2D). 22C10 also stains the axons of peripheral sensory neurons, which begin to enter the CNS by this time, following the ISN and SN root motor pathways (Fig.

**FIG. 5.** ISN phenotypes in *Rptp* mutant embryos. (A) A schematic diagram of a late stage 16 ISN and some of its surrounding target muscles. The first (FB) and second (SB) lateral branch points and the terminal arbor (T) are indicated. (B–E) Each image shows a late stage 16/early stage 17 ISN stained with mAb 1D4. Three different kinds of phenotypes are represented. The arrowheads indicate persistent twist cells, which are also stained by this mAb. (B) Wild type. FB, SB, and T are indicated. (C) An ISN from a Dlar embryo, displaying the SB+ phenotype. (D) An ISN from a Dlar; Ptp69D embryo, displaying the SB phenotype. (E) An ISN from a Dlar; Ptp69D; Ptp99A embryo, displaying the FB phenotype. (F–N) Bar graphs showing the ISN phenotypes of different mutant combinations. The ordinates represent the penetrances (in % of hemisegments) of the various phenotypes. The T phenotype (axons reach the terminal arbor position; dark blue bars) and SB+ phenotype (axons stall between SB and T; light blue bars) categories are combined into one column labeled 2+, meaning that these ISNs extend beyond SB. SB (stop at second branch point) phenotype: purple bars. FB (stop at first branch point) phenotype: red bars. n values: F, 101; G, 107; H, 118; I, 83; J, 256; K, 96; L, 215; M, 105; N, 118.
These combined motor/sensory nerves appear relatively normal in quadruple RNAi embryos (Fig. 2D), except in segments in which the RP axons fail to pioneer a section of the ISN pathway (bracket).

**DPTP10D Regulates Outgrowth and Bifurcation of the SNa Motor Nerve**

DPTP10D is apparently expressed at higher levels on SNa axons and growth cones than on other motor pathways. Figure 3 shows staining of the SNa by anti-DPTP10D during its outgrowth (stage 15); DPTP10D expression on other motor nerves at this time is apparently below the limit of detection. It is usually not possible to visualize staining of individual motor nerves, which contain only a few axons, with antibodies against the RPTPs. Staining of the thicker ISN and SN roots as they leave the CNS can be observed, however (Desai et al., 1994; Tian et al., 1991), suggesting that all of the RPTPs are in fact expressed on both ISN and SN motor pathways. In embryos with six copies of the wild-type Ptp69D gene, all individual motor nerves can be clearly visualized with anti-DPTP69D mAbs (Desai et al., 1996). Finally, in situ hybridization analysis indicates that DPTP10D and DPTP99A mRNAs are expressed by identified motor neurons (Yang et al., 1991).

The SNa nerve has a characteristic bifurcated morphology. The bifurcation occurs at a choice point located between muscles 22 and 23. The posterior (or lateral) branch of the SNa innervates muscles 5 and 8, and the anterior (or dorsal) branch innervates muscles 21–24 (Figs. 4A and 4B; the N (normal) SNa phenotype is indicated by the green bars). SNa development is unaffected in all single Rtp1 mutants.

In Ptp10D; Ptp69D double-mutant embryos, an SNa bifurcation is not observed in >40% of hemisegments. The mutant SNa nerves either stall at the bifurcation point or, more commonly, have only one branch (either anterior or posterior) extending beyond this point (Figs. 4C and 4F; the failure-to-bifurcate (B) phenotype is indicated by the purple bars). Note that this phenotype could be due either to both sets of SNa axons (the 5 and 8 and 21–24 axons) following one pathway after the normal bifurcation point or to a failure of one set of axons to grow out past the bifurcation point. This cannot be determined at present since there are no markers that distinguish between these two sets of axons.

Analysis of all double-, triple-, and quadruple-mutant phenotypes shows that SNa bifurcation exhibits a complex dependence on RPTP function. Bifurcation failures (B phenotype) are observed at a lower frequency (25%) in another double-mutant genotype, Dlar; Ptp69D (Fig. 4G), but are never seen in Ptp10D; Dlar (data not shown). Removing either of the two remaining RPTPs from Ptp10D; Dlar, however, now causes 46–58% of SNa nerves to fail to bifurcate (Figs. 4H and 4I). These data indicate that all four of the RPTPs participate in SNa bifurcation and/or outgrowth past the bifurcation point (see Discussion).

All four RPTPs are also involved in facilitation of SNa outgrowth to the bifurcation point. In quadruple-mutant embryos, 55% of SNa nerves either do not reach the bifurcation point at all or are very thin and wandering (Figs. 4D, 4E, and 4L; this is denoted as the “short/thin” (ST) phenotype and is indicated by red bars). These phenotypes suggest that some SNa axons may not enter the nerve at all and that the remaining axons are impaired in their outgrowth.

Defective SNa outgrowth (ST phenotype) is not observed in any double mutant and is also very rare in any triple mutant in which Dlar or Ptp69D is wild-type (Figs. 4G and 4H). If Dlar and Ptp69D are both mutant, removal of DPTP99A or DPTP10D results in defective outgrowth of about 40% of SNa nerves, and removal of both produces the 55% penetrance seen in the quadruple mutant (Figs. 4J–4L). Thus, expression of DLAR alone, DPTP69D alone, or DPTP10D plus DPTP99A is sufficient to allow normal outgrowth of SNa axons to the bifurcation point in >95% of hemisegments.

**Loss of DPTP10D Function Partially Suppresses the ISN Truncation Phenotypes of Dlar; Ptp69D; Ptp99A Triple Mutants**

The ISN passes two major lateral branch points, denoted FB and SB, before reaching the position of its terminal arbor (T) at the proximal edge of muscle 1 (Figs. 5A and 5B). DLAR is central to ISN guidance or outgrowth, because ISN truncations are seldom observed in any genotype in which Dlar is wild type. In Dlar; Ptp69D double mutants, about 50% of ISNs terminate at the SB position (Figs. 5D and 5F; this SB phenotype is indicated by purple bars). The remainder of the ISNs either terminate between SB and T (SB+ phenotype; Fig. 5C) or make an abnormally small terminal arbor. The T phenotype is defined as that in which axons reach the normal terminal arbor position. Phenotypes in which axons pass SB (SB+ and T) are combined into the bicolored light/dark blue bars, which are labeled 2+. In Dlar; Ptp69D; Ptp99A triple mutants, about 50% of ISNs terminate at the FB position (Figs. 5E and 5H; this FB phenotype is indicated by red bars), and most of the remainder stop at SB (Desai et al., 1997).

To examine the role of DPTP10D in development of
the ISN pathway, we analyzed the phenotypes of *Ptp10D* mutations combined with the other *Rptp* mutations in double, triple, and quadruple mutant combinations. Our analysis shows that the absence of DPTP10D function does not adversely affect ISN guidance, because the phenotypes of *Rptp* mutant combinations are not significantly strengthened by removing DPTP10D. First, multiply-mutant genotypes that do not include mutant *Dlar* have weak ISN phenotypes whether or not *Ptp10D* is mutant. For example, <10% of ISNs prematurely terminate (3% SB, 6% SB+) in *Ptp10D; Ptp69D; Ptp99A* triple mutants (Fig. 5N). Second, the phenotypes of embryos in which *Dlar* is mutant are not worsened by inclusion of mutant *Ptp10D*. For example, 75% of ISNs prematurely terminate in *Dlar; Ptp69D* (27% SB+, 45% SB) and 78% in *Ptp10D; Dlar; Ptp69D* (38% SB+, 38% SB; Figs. 5F and 5G). Sixty-five percent of ISNs prematurely terminate in *Dlar; Ptp99A* (39% SB+, 21% SB) and 52% in *Ptp10D; Dlar; Ptp99A* (30% SB+, 21% SB; Figs. 5L and 5M).

DPTP10D actually functions in opposition to the other RPTPs in regulating ISN outgrowth past the FB and SB branch points. This is shown most clearly by a comparison of the phenotypes of *Dlar; Ptp69D; Ptp99A* triple mutants and *Ptp10D; Dlar; Ptp69D; Ptp99A* quadruple mutants. In the triple-mutant embryos, 52% of ISNs stop at FB, 37% stop at SB, and only 11% grow past SB. In quadruple mutants, however, only 15% of ISNs stop at FB, 53% stop at SB, and 31% extend beyond SB (Figs. 5H and 5I). Thus, the distribution of ISN lengths is shifted toward wild type by removal of DPTP10D function from a triple mutant. This result is formally similar to, but less dramatic than, our earlier finding that removal of DPTP99A function suppresses the ISNb parallel-bypass phenotype of *Dlar* (31% partial or complete parallel bypass in *Dlar* vs 1% in *Dlar; Ptp99A*; Desai et al., 1997).

**DPTP10D Acts Together with the Other Three RPTPs to Facilitate ISNb Defasciculation at the Exit Junction**

The axons of the ISNb (SNb) nerve innervate the VLMs. ISNb growth cones extend out the ISN root and defasciculate from the common ISN pathway at the exit junction (Figs. 6A, 6F, and 6G). ISNd (SNd) axons also leave the ISN at the exit junction and follow the pathway laid down by the earlier ISNb axons until they reach a nearby second junction where ISNd separates from ISNb (Figs. 6A and 6G). In *Dlar* embryos (and in all mutant combinations in which *Dlar* is mutant), ISNd is usually missing (Krueger et al., 1996). Since they cannot be visualized separately from ISN and ISNb axons (no markers distinguish these axons), it is unknown whether mutant ISNd axons follow one of the other ISN branches or fail to even reach the exit junction. Near the point at which ISNd and ISNb separate, ISNb enters the VLM field. The green bars in the figure, labeled N, indicate the percentage of hemisegments in which the ISNb nerve is able to grow into the muscle field.

Our previous results showed that DLAR, DPTP69D, and DPTP99A are all involved in ISNb navigation at the exit junction (Desai et al., 1997). In triple-mutant embryos lacking all three of these RPTPs, about 30% of ISNb nerves fail to leave the ISN at the exit junction and continue to grow out along the common ISN pathway (bypass phenotype). In most of these bypass hemisegments, only one ISN branch is visible, and it is usually thicker than normal (Figs. 6B and 6H). In a side view of these hemisegments (like that diagrammed in Fig. 6F), a single nerve growing exclusively on the external side of the VLMs would be observed. (This is the side farthest from the lens, since the dissected embryos are viewed from the internal side.) We interpret this “fusion bypass” (FBP) phenotype as indicating that the ISNb nerve grew out along the common ISN pathway. It is also possible that some ISNb axons in bypass hemisegments fail to extend past the entrance to the VLM field; but most are likely to continue to grow along the ISN, because the ISN is thicker in such hemisegments.

In quadruple-mutant embryos, no axons are ever observed to enter the VLM field. The frequency of bypass phenotypes is increased to 76%, indicating that DPTP10D also contributes to the decision of ISNb axons to leave the ISN at the exit junction (Fig. 6P). We note that in some triple- and quadruple-mutant hemisegments (17% in the quadruple mutant collection; Fig. 6P) a small gap between the ISN and the ISNb bundles is visible at the exit junction, but the bundles fuse together again after a short distance. We think that these also represent failures of the ISNb to leave correctly at the exit junction, because the axons return to the ISN without growing past the VLMs. However, we lack a clear quantitative way to separate these phenotypes from the “parallel bypass” (PBP) phenotype seen in *Dlar* embryos, in which the ISNb nerve grows out past the VLMs as a separate pathway before returning to the ISN (Krueger et al., 1996, Desai et al., 1997; see diagram in Fig. 6I). Because of this, we have classified these “gapped” triple- and quadruple-mutant phenotypes as PBP and have grouped the FBP (gold) and PBP (red) phenotypes together into the bicolored red/gold bars labeled BP (bypass). The heights of these bicolored bars...
thus represent the total frequency of bypass phenotypes.

In the remaining 24% of quadruple-mutant hemisegments, the ISNb nerve appears to leave the ISN but immediately stalls (Figs. 6C and 6I) or extends short abnormal branches (Figs. 6D and 6E). We have classified all such hemisegments as stall (S) phenotypes (indicated by purple bars). Figures 6D and 6E show two focal planes of a hemisegment in which a small branch grew out on the wrong (internal) face of muscles 6 and 7. Normally the ISNb remains on the external face of the VLMs until it reaches muscle 13; only the final ISNb projection to muscle 12 grows on the internal face (see Fig. 6F).

In summary, our analysis indicates that DPTP10D has a role in facilitating ISNb defasciculation from the common ISN pathway and may also help these axons enter the VLM field (see Discussion). Examination of
DISCUSSION

Genetic studies of three *Drosophila* neural RPTPs (DLAR, DPTP69D, and DPTP99A) have shown that these transmembrane receptors are important components of the mechanisms that regulate the formation of specific synaptic connections in the neuromuscular system and the optic lobes (Desai et al., 1996, 1997; Krueger et al., 1996; Garrity et al., 1999; Wills et al., 1999). The fourth neural RPTP, DPTP10D, was shown to function together with DPTP69D in regulating repulsion of axons from the midline of the CNS (Sun et al., 2000). In this paper, we analyze the roles of DPTP10D and the other three neural RPTPs in controlling axon guidance, studying the phenotypes of double, triple, and quadruple Rptp mutant combinations. Our results provide insights into how the four RPTPs work together and in opposition to each other in controlling specific growth-cone pathfinding decisions within the embryonic neuromuscular system and the CNS.

The RPTPs have partially redundant (overlapping) activities in controlling most axon guidance decisions in the neuromuscular system. For example, extension of the ISN past the first lateral branch point, FB, can be facilitated by DLAR, DPTP69D, or DPTP99A (Desai et al., 1997). The failure to grow out past FB in this triple mutant could be due to an error in guidance, so that the growth cones fail to recognize the next intermediate target. Alternatively, the ISN axons might develop an impairment in their ability to grow that causes them to stall at this specific point.

In other cases, two RPTPs have “collaborative” relationships. Outgrowth of the SNa nerve to its bifurcation point, or of the ISNb nerve to the muscle entry site, can be facilitated by DLAR or DPTP69D, but not by DPTP10D or DPTP99A alone. DPTP10D plus DPTP99A can allow normal outgrowth, however (Figs. 4 and 6; see below). Finally, for some decisions the RPTPs display antagonistic or competitive interactions. Entry of the ISNb into the VLM field is regulated by competition between DLAR and DPTP99A (Desai et al., 1997), while DPTP10D has an antagonistic relationship with the other RPTPs in controlling ISN extension past lateral branch points (Fig. 5).

To describe more clearly how DPTP10D and the other RPTPs control motor axon guidance decisions, we now employ a different format in which, rather than discussing the penetrance of the defects produced by combinations of mutations, we begin by asking what percentage of motor nerves have appropriate trajectories past their first presumed choice point in a quadruple mutant lacking all of the known neural RPTPs. We then genetically “add back” each RPTP and calculate what percentage of the nerves now follow the correct trajectory. For subsequent choice points along a pathway, we consider only the subpopulation of mutant nerves that followed a normal pathway past the previous choice point and then ask how adding back RPTPs influences their ability to navigate correctly at the next choice point. “Flow charts” of this process are shown in Fig. 7.

For SNa guidance, the flow chart reveals that outgrowth to the bifurcation point can be completely restored by adding DLAR or DPTP69D to the quadruple mutant (45% correct → 95%), but only partially restored by DPTP10D or DPTP99A (to 60%; Fig. 7A). Addition of both DPTP10D and DPTP99A restores extension to >95%, however.

Bifurcation of the SNa into its anterior and posterior branches almost never occurs in a quadruple mutant (1%); it can be partially restored by DLAR or DPTP69D (to 53%), but DPTP10D is less effective (20%) and DPTP99A is ineffective (7%). DPTP99A also cannot restore bifurcation when added to triple mutants expressing only DLAR (53% → 57%). However, DPTP99A is quite effective when added to triple mutants expressing only DPTP10D (20% → 75%) or only DPTP69D (53% → 96%; Fig. 7B). These results suggest a collaborative relationship at this decision point between DPTP99A and DPTP10D and, to a lesser extent, between DPTP99A and DPTP69D. DPTP99A cannot facilitate SNa bifurcation when expressed in the absence of DPTP69D and DPTP10D, but if one of these other RPTPs is expressed, DPTP99A can now collaborate with it to allow the nerve to bifurcate normally.

We now discuss progression of the ISN past the FB and SB branch points. In a quadruple mutant, 84% of ISNs extend past FB. Adding back DLAR, DPTP69D, or DPTP99A increases this percentage to >95%, but adding back DPTP10D decreases the percentage of extension past FB to 48%. Adding any one of the other three RPTPs to the triple mutant that expresses only...
FIG. 7. Flow charts of motor axon phenotypes, showing how each RPTP contributes to six different axon guidance decisions. The frequency (in %) with which the correct pathway choice is made by a motor nerve in quadruple mutants is indicated on the left, and the frequencies of correct choices after genetically adding back the indicated RPTPs are to the right.
DPTP10D now restores the ability to extend past FB in >95% of cases (Fig. 7C).

For progression past SB, DLAR, DPTP69D, and DPTP99A now differ in their abilities to facilitate the correct decision. DLAR completely rescues (from 37 to >95%), while DPTP99A is relatively ineffective (to 60%) and DPTP69D is intermediate (79%). Again, adding DPTP10D decreases the percentage of successful extension past SB (from 37 to 23%; Fig. 7D). When DLAR, DPTP69D, or DPTP99A is added to the triple mutant in which only DPTP10D is expressed, their relative effectiveness at restoring growth past SB is the same as for addition to the quadruple mutant.

These data suggest a competitive relationship between DPTP10D and the other RPTPs. In the Dlar; Ptp69D; Ptp99A triple mutant, there is excess DPTP10D activity (in a formal genetic sense), and this favors truncation at FB or SB. When DPTP10D is removed, the percentage of successful extension is increased at both branch points.

ISNb defasciculation from the common ISN pathway at the exit junction can be facilitated by expression of any of the four RPTPs, but DPTP69D, DLAR, and DPTP99A are more effective than DPTP10D (41% → ≈95% for the first three vs 41% → 79% for DPTP10D; Fig. 7E). Extension of the ISNb from the exit junction to the muscle entry site is more complex. ISNd axons normally separate from the ISNb shortly after it leaves the ISN, but it is likely that these axons are not yet present during the time at which ISNb is pioneering this section of its pathway. Thus, the ISNb "stall" phenotype is probably produced by a failure of ISNb extension rather than by a failure to separate from ISNd axons. A further piece of evidence supporting this conclusion is that ISNd fails to separate from ISNb (or does not extend at all) in Dlar single mutants (Krueger et al., 1996), but ISNb does not stall in this genotype (Desai et al., 1997).

The ISNb never successfully enters the VLMs in quadruple mutants (that is, all quadruple mutant ISNbs that manage to defasciculate from the ISN still before the muscle entry site). DPTP69D, and to a lesser extent DLAR, can restore successful entry into the muscle field (0% → 89% and 71%, respectively). DPTP10D and DPTP99A, however, are quite ineffective (0% → 11 and 18%, respectively). Interestingly, however, when one of this pair of RPTPs is present, addition of the other can now effectively restore extension (11 or 18% → 72%; Fig. 7F). These results, like those described above for SNAs, suggest a collaborative relationship between DPTP10D and DPTP99A in which the two RPTPs can function only as a pair at this decision point.

Finally, we can also construct a qualitative flow chart for CNS axon guidance, although we cannot describe guidance along the longitudinal pathways in a quantitative manner because individual hemisegments cannot be considered independently. Many interneuronal axons traverse several segments, so that phenotypes in different segments influence each other (Schmid et al., 1999).

In the absence of all four neural RPTPs, most 1D4-positive longitudinal pathways appear to become commissural and cross the midline (Fig. 1). Examination of earlier embryos shows that the descending MP1 longitudinal pioneer pathway is rerouted across the midline when all four RPTPs are missing (Fig. 2). This phenotype is also seen in robo mutants (Kidd et al., 1998a,b).

Adding back only DPTP10D or only DPTP69D to the quadruple mutant restores an almost wild-type 1D4 pattern. The resulting Dlar; Ptp69D; Ptp99A and Dlar; Dlar; Ptp99A triple mutants differ from wild type primarily in that the outer 1D4-positive longitudinal bundle is discontinuous (Fig. 1). Thus, these two RPTPs are central to control of guidance of CNS axons across the midline.

In contrast, adding back DPTP99A to a quadruple mutant has a much less dramatic effect. Some longitudinal bundles are present in Ptp10D; Dlar; Ptp69D triple mutants, but many 1D4-positive axons still cross the midline, and these often do not respect the normal borders of the commissural tracts. Adding back DLAR to the Ptp10D; Dlar; Ptp69D triple mutant produces a more regular pattern, in which the crossing 1D4-positive axons remain with the normal boundaries of the commissural tracts. Finally, adding back DPTP10D or DPTP69D to the Ptp10D; Ptp69D double mutant to create a single mutant restores the CNS to a completely wild-type morphology, in which there are three distinct longitudinal bundles and no 1D4-positive axons crossing the midline.

The molecular mechanisms involved in these three types of relationships (partially redundant, collaborative, and competitive) among the RPTPs are unknown. We also do not know why each of the individual RPTPs regulates only a specific subset of outgrowth and guidance decisions, since they appear to be expressed on every motor axon. It is attractive to speculate that RPTP enzymatic activity and/or localization within growth cones is regulated by engagement of RPTP ligands localized to specific cells along the pathways of the motor nerves.

The nature of these putative ligands is unknown. Indeed, in vivo ligands for RPTPs have not been identified in any system. Some vertebrate and leech RPTPs
can function as homophilic adhesion molecules (Baker et al., 2000; Brady-Kalnay et al., 1993; Cheng et al., 1997; Wang and Bixby, 1999; Zondag et al., 1995). We have not been able to observe homophilic adhesion activity for the *Drosophila* RPTPs, however. RPTPs can also interact heterophilically with ligands in *vitro*. RPTPβ/ζ binds to the secreted cytokines pleiotrophin and midkine and to the neural adhesion molecules contactin and F3 (Maeda et al., 1996, 1999; Peles et al., 1995; Revest et al., 1999). LAR can interact with a laminin–nidogen complex (O’Grady et al., 1998). Chicken CRYPα extracellular domains bind to specific areas of the retinotectal system, suggesting that ligands are located there. These have not been molecularly identified, however (Haj et al., 1999).

The rescue data presented here (Table 1) and in Garrity et al. (1999) suggest that interaction with extracellular proteins is required for DPTP69D function. Mutants in which the FN3 repeats of the extracellular domain are deleted, or constructs in which the extracellular domain is replaced with mammalian RPTP domains are deleted, or constructs in which the extracellular repeats of the extracellular domain are deleted, or constructs in which the extracellular domain is replaced with mammalian RPTP sequences, do not rescue the Ptp10D; Ptp69D photoreceptor axon guidance phenotype. FN3 repeat deletions also do not rescue the Ptp69D photoreceptor axon guidance phenotype in third-instar larvae (Garrity et al., 1999). The Ig domains are not required for phenotypic rescue, but are necessary for viability, suggesting that they function in other pathways. These results suggest that interaction of the FN3 repeats with other proteins is necessary for guidance. These could be ligands on other cells or coreceptors on the DPTP69D-expressing cell.

Like most other RPTPs, DPTP69D and DLAR each have two cytoplasmic PTP domains, D1 and D2, that are potentially active as phosphatases. D2 domains, however, are sometimes catalytically inactive and always display less catalytic activity than D1 domains, at least in *vitro* (see Nam et al., 1999, for a discussion of this point). DPTP99A-D2 lacks the essential cysteine residue, indicating that it cannot be catalytically active, and DPTP10D has only a single PTP domain. Enzymatic activity is likely to be required for DPTP69D function during axon guidance decisions, because mutants in which both PTP domains are inactivated by point mutations fail to rescue axon guidance phenotypes (Garrity et al., 1999; Table 1). The two domains apparently have redundant functions in R1–6 photoreceptor or embryonic CNS axon guidance, since mutants in which only one domain is inactivated (D1) or deleted (D2) still rescue. These single PTP domain mutants do not rescue viability, however, indicating that the two domains have distinct functions in other pathways. We note that Newsome et al. (2000) found a point mutation in D1 that confers the photoreceptor guidance defect; they did not determine, however, whether the mutant DPTP69D is still expressed. If mutant protein is unstable, these results would not be in conflict with the Garrity et al. (1999) rescue experiments.

One mechanism that could explain both collaboration and competition among the RPTPs is ligand-driven formation of hetero-oligomers. For example, if a DPTP10D/DPTP99A hetero-oligomer could signal at a specific choice point (where a particular oligomerizing ligand might be present) but DPTP10D and DPTP99A monomers were inactive in that context, one could explain the apparent collaborative role for DPTP10D plus DPTP99A in facilitating guidance and/or extension at this choice point. Conversely, competition could be mediated by formation of inactive RPTP hetero-oligomers from active monomers. For example, DLAR might suppress DPTP99A activity at the muscle entry site by forming a hetero-oligomer with it in which DPTP99A was inactive (Desai et al., 1997).

The existence of inactive RPTP dimers has been demonstrated for mammalian RPTPα. In its crystal structure, a conserved helical region (the “wedge”) between the membrane and PTP-D1 inserts into the active site of the other D1 domain in the dimer. This structure predicts that the RPTPα dimer would be catalytically inactive because the wedge would occlude access to the active site (Bilwes et al., 1996). Wedge-mediated inactivation of RPTPα catalytic activity has been directly demonstrated by forced dimerization of mutants expressed in transfected cells (Jiang et al., 1999, 2000). Consistent with this model for RPTP regulation, introduction of wedge mutations into an EGF receptor (extracellular)–CD45 RPTP (intracellular) chimera blocks inhibition of CD45 signaling caused by EGF-mediated homodimerization (Majeti et al., 1998). Finally, pleiotrophin binding to RPTPβ/ζ reduces its catalytic activity, possibly through ligand-induced dimerization (Meng et al., 2000). It is unknown whether this effect on phosphatase activity requires the wedge region.

Wedge-mediated dimerization, however, is only one of many potential interactions that occur among RPTP cytoplasmic domains, and we have no evidence that it is important *in vivo*. In fact, the wedge may be dispensable for DPTP69D function in axon guidance, since wedge mutants fully rescue the optic lobe and embryonic CNS phenotypes (Garrity et al., 1999, Table 1; these mutants rescue lethality only poorly, however, suggesting that the wedge does have a function during development). It is also unclear whether other RPTPs can even form this type of dimer. The crystal structures of LAR and RPTPμ do not display wedge–D1 interactions,
and such an interaction might be precluded for LAR because of intramolecular contacts between LAR D1 and D2 (Hoffmann et al., 1997). Biochemical studies indicate that the D2 domains of RPTPα, LAR, CD45, and other RPTPs can bind to a variety of D1 domains, and also to the wedge region, either intramolecularly or intermolecularly (Blanchetot and den Hertog, 2000; Feng and Johnson, 1998; Nam et al., 1999; Wallace et al., 1998). These interactions can inhibit or increase enzymatic activity. Elucidation of the biochemical mechanisms that underlie the genetic relationships among the Drosophila RPTPs is likely to require identification of the ligands, coreceptors, and substrates that they recognize in vivo.

**EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

**Genetics and Immunohistochemistry**

To eliminate DPTP10D expression, we used the null allele Ptp10D<sup>1</sup>, which is homozygous/hemizygous viable and fertile and affects only DPTP10D (Sun et al., 2000). Ptp10D<sup>1</sup> was generated from the P element insertion EP(X)1172 (Rorth et al., 1998). Analysis of the complete genome sequence shows that EP(X)1172 is immediately upstream of Ptp10D, but distant from other predicted genes, and that the Ptp10D<sup>1</sup> deletion cleanly removes the first exon of Ptp10D without approaching other predicted genes (see map in Sun et al., 2000). Two other independently generated Ptp10D alleles, Df(1)S9 and Df(1)1101, produce the same phenotypes as Ptp10D<sup>1</sup> when combined with Ptp69D (Sun et al., 2000); we did not use these alleles in the present study because they also affect the neighboring gene 3<sup>1</sup> to Ptp10D, bifocal. For the other three Ptp genes, we used null transheterozygous combinations of alleles that were employed by Desai et al. (1997), in order to be able to directly compare results on phenotypic penetrances. For Ptp69D, we used Ptp69D<sup>1</sup>/Df(3L)8ex25 (Desai et al., 1996). For Dlar, Dlar<sup>33</sup>/Dlar<sup>112</sup> was used (Krueger et al., 1996). For Ptp99A, Ptp99A<sup>1</sup>/Df(3R)R3 was used (Hamilton et al., 1995). For transgenic rescue experiments, the GAL4-driven DPTP69D mutant transgene lines described by Garrity et al. (1999) were used. These transgenes and Elav-GAL4 drivers were introduced into Ptp10D; Ptp69D mutant backgrounds using standard genetic methods. We also used a transgene, not described in Garrity et al. (1999), in which the entire extracellular domain of DPTP69D is replaced by the extracellular domain of mammalian RPTP<sub>µ</sub> (kindly provided by P. Garrity, C.-H. Lee, and L. Zipursky). Late stage 16/17 early stage 17 embryos were collected from crosses between heterozygous flies bearing various combinations of mutant alleles and/or transgenes. The desired mutant embryos were identified based on the absence of staining with mAbs specific for each RPTP and/or by the absence of staining with anti-β-galactosidase mAb (Promega), which detects the presence of the lacZ gene on the balancer chromosomes. The anti-RPTP mAbs used were 45E10 (DPTP10D; Tian et al., 1991), 3A6 (DPTP99A; Tian et al., 1991), 3F11 (DPTP69D; Desai et al., 1994), and 8C4 (DLAR; B. Burkenper and K.Z., unpublished). The mutant embryos were then reared with mAb 1D4 (Van Vactor et al., 1993) to reveal motor axon and CNS pathways, dissected, and photographed on a Zeiss Axioplan microscope using Nomarski optics. All mAb supernatants were used at 1:5 to 1:10 dilutions. Whole-mount antibody staining of fly embryos using horseradish peroxidase immunohistochemistry was performed as described (Patel, 1994).

**RNAi Experiments**

Wild-type embryos were collected at stage 5, hand dechorionated, mounted on coverslips, and desiccated for 6 min at 18°C. They were then covered with 650 μL halocarbon oil and injected with <1 μM solutions of dsRNA in injection buffer (Kennerdell and Carthew, 1998), using a Nikon inverted Diaphot microscope with a 100× Nikon Planapo oil immersion lens. Embryos were viewed with a Hamamatsu CCD camera and a Sony video monitor and injected with the aid of Leitz micromanipulators. Details of plasmids used for dsRNA synthesis are available on request; RPTP dsRNAs were between 900 and 1.6 kb in length. Transcription and annealing were done as described by Kennerdell and Carthew (1998). When combinations of RNA were injected, summed concentrations of constituent RNAs were never greater than 1 μM. After injection, embryos were left at 18°C for 30 h. They were then filleted, fixed in 5% paraformaldehyde, and stained with mAbs specific for each RPTP and/or by beta-galactosidase mAb M solutions of M. After injection, embryos were viewed on a Zeiss Axioplan microscope with a 63× oil immersion lens and were photographed using an Olympus Magnafire digital camera system.
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